## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ROY TRAYLOR,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	NO. 3:21-cv-00940-C (BT)
	§	
TODD McGEHEE, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	

## FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This is a *pro se* civil action brought by Plaintiff Roy Traylor. On April 28, 2021, the Court sent Traylor a Notice of Deficiency and Order (ECF No. 6), which ordered him to file a complaint in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) and file his civil rights complaint on the appropriate form. The Order informed Traylor that failure to respond and cure the deficiencies by May 28, 2021 could result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. Traylor failed to comply with the Court's Order. Therefore, this case should be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

Rule 41(b) allows a court to dismiss an action *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the federal rules or any court order. *Griggs v. S.G.E. Mgmt.*, *L.L.C.*, 905 F.3d 835, 844 (5th Cir. 2018) (citing *McCullough v. Lynaugh*, 835 F.2d 1126, 1127 (5th Cir. 1988) (per curiam)); *accord Nottingham v. Warden, Bill Clements Unit*, 837 F.3d 438, 440 (5th Cir. 2016) (failure to comply with a court order); *Rosin v. Thaler*, 450 F. App'x 383, 383-84 (5th Cir. 2011) (per

curiam) (failure to prosecute). "This authority [under Rule 41(b)] flows from the court's inherent power to control its docket and prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases." *Boudwin v. Graystone Ins. Co., Ltd.*, 756 F.2d 399, 401 (5th Cir. 1985) (citing *Link v. Wabash*, *R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962)).

Here, Traylor has failed to comply with the Court's order to file a complaint in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) and file his civil rights pleadings on the appropriate form. The Court cannot screen his claims, and thus this litigation cannot proceed, until he cures these deficiencies. Traylor has failed to prosecute his lawsuit and also failed to obey a court order. Dismissal without prejudice is warranted under these circumstances.

The Court should dismiss Traylor's complaint without prejudice under Rule 41(b).

SO RECOMMENDED.

Signed June 3, 2021.

REBECCA RUTHERFORD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).